

ACTIVITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

WHEN & HOW TO USE THIS TOOL

Purpose:

To assess the impact on engagement of an activity funded through a site's FEI funds.

Participants:

Activity lead.

Method:

This questionnaire can be filled out at the completion of a phase, or at the conclusion, of an engagement activity. This can be done over the phone by the PM with the activity MSA lead, or by the activity MSA lead themselves.

Result Implications:

Participants involved in the FEI have questioned how to asses whether an activity has an impact on the engagement of medical staff at their sites. The information identified below is a first step in understanding how the process of conducting an activity may have an impact on engagement at a site.



ACTIVITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

To be filled out at the completion of a phase, or at the conclusion, of an engagement activity. This can be done over the phone by the PM with the project MSA lead, or by the project MSA lead themselves.

Activity Status:			
☐ Interim report ☐ Completed			
1. Problem or issue the project set out to address (overall purpose):			
2. Was this project strategically aligned with site / regional / ministry of health priorities?			
□ No			
Yes, which ones:			
3. a) Did clinicians participate in the planning, administration and / or implementation of the engagement activity? Number and Roles (e.g., 5 physicians, 1 nurse, etc.; list as many groups as needed):			
If yes, list the key individuals and describe their overall involvement using one of the terms from the IAP2 Framework of engagement ² :			
informed – they were provided with information on an activity, project or policy			
consulted – they provided feedback on key decisions or activities			
collaborated – they provided advice, leadership and recommendations on the project, activity or policy			
empowered – they were a joint partner in decision-making			

² https://www.iap2.org/page/pillars



ACTIVITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT CONTINUED

b) Did administrators part <i>Role and Name (add as m</i>	icipate in the planning, adminis	stration and / or implementatio	n of the engagement activity?		
If yes, list the key indivi- of engagement:	duals and describe their overall	involvement using one of the	erms from the IAP2 Framework		
informed – they were	informed – they were provided with information on an activity, project or policy				
consulted – they provided feedback on key decisions or activities					
collaborated – they pr	ovided advice, leadership and re	ecommendations on the project,	activity or policy		
empowered – they we	ere a joint partner in decision-ma	ıking			
MAIN OBJECTIVE	WAS THE OBJECTIVE MET? (check all that apply)	DATA SOURCE TO VALIDATE SUCCESS (check all that apply)	COMMENTS		
1.					
	☐ Yes, completely ☐ Yes, partially ☐ No	☐ Interview data ☐ Survey data ☐ Clinical workflow data ☐ Patient health data ☐ Other: ☐ None (or anecdotal only)			
2.	Yes, partially	Survey data Clinical workflow data Patient health data Other:			



ACTIVITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT CONTINUED

5. Ind	icate the overall impact of this activity ³ :
	Improved the building of connections, trust and collaboration within the MSA and with health system managers (Relational)
	Enhanced the communication of ideas and beliefs consistent with the changes we hoped to see (Conceptual)
	Established formalized roles, rules and policies that support the changes we want to see (Structural)
	Concrete initiatives and action were implemented that will advance or protect the desired changes (Operational)
	None
6. Wh	at would you do differently if doing this project again?

³ Cloutier C, Denis JL, Langley A & Lamothe L. Agency at the Managerial Interface: Public Sector Reform as Institutional Work. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, Volume 26, Issue 2, April 2016, Pages 259–276, https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muv009